Today

Finish Euclid.

Bijection/CRT/Isomorphism.

Fermat's Little Theorem.

Multiplicative Inverse.

GCD algorithm used to tell **if** there is a multiplicative inverse. How do we **find** a multiplicative inverse?

Finding an inverse?

Extend euclid to find inverse.

We showed how to efficiently tell if there is an inverse.

Extended GCD

Euclid's Extended GCD Theorem: For any x, y there are integers a, b such that

ax + by = d where d = gcd(x, y).

"Make d out of sum of multiples of x and y."

What is multiplicative inverse of *x* modulo *m*?

By extended GCD theorem, when gcd(x, m) = 1.

ax + bm = 1

 $ax \equiv 1 - bm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

So a multiplicative inverse of x (mod m)!!

Example: For x = 12 and y = 35, gcd(12,35) = 1.

(3)12+(-1)35=1.

a = 3 and b = -1.

The multiplicative inverse of 12 (mod 35) is 3.

Check: $3(12) = 36 = 1 \pmod{35}$.

Euclid's GCD algorithm.

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
          x
          (euclid y (mod x y))))
```

Computes the gcd(x, y) in O(n) divisions. (Remember $n = log_2 x$.) For x and m, if gcd(x, m) = 1 then x has an inverse modulo m.

3/

Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
    else
       (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
       return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

Claim: Returns (d, a, b): d = gcd(x, y) and d = ax + by. Example: $a - |x/y| \cdot b = 1 - 0.11 | 1.23 | 1.21 | 1.4 | 1.23 | 1.21 | 1.4 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1.21 | 1$

```
ext-gcd(35,12)
  ext-gcd(12, 11)
    ext-gcd(11, 1)
    ext-gcd(1,0)
    return (1,1,0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
    return (1,0,1) ;; 1 = (0)11 + (1)1
  return (1,1,-1) ;; 1 = (1)12 + (-1)11
return (1,-1, 3) ;; 1 = (-1)35 + (3)12
```

_

Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
     else
     (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
     return (d, b, a - floor(x/y) * b)
```

Theorem: Returns (d, a, b), where d = gcd(x, y) and

$$d = ax + by$$
.

Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

```
\begin{aligned} \text{Example: } & \gcd(7,60) = 1. \\ & \gcd(7,60). \end{aligned}
```

7(0)+60(1) = 60 7(1)+60(0) = 7 7(-8)+60(1) = 4 7(9)+60(-1) = 3 7(-17)+60(2) = 1

Confirm: -119 + 120 = 1

Correctness.

Proof: Strong Induction.¹

Base: ext-gcd(x,0) returns (d = x,1,0) with x = (1)x + (0)y.

Induction Step: Returns (d, A, B) with d = Ax + By Ind hyp: **ext-gcd** $(y, \mod (x, y))$ returns (d, a, b) with

 $d = ay + b(\mod(x, y))$

ext-gcd(x,y) calls ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y)) so

$$d = ay + b \cdot (\mod(x, y))$$

$$= ay + b \cdot (x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor y)$$

$$= bx + (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b)y$$

And ext-gcd returns $(d, b, (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{v} \rfloor \cdot b))$ so theorem holds!

Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in O(n) time!

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

 $2^{n/2}$

Inverse of 500,000,357 modulo 1,000,000,000,000?

 \leq 80 divisions.

versus 1,000,000

Internet Security.

Public Key Cryptography: 512 digits.

512 divisions vs.

Review Proof: step.

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{ext-gcd}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \\ \text{if } \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0} \text{ then } \operatorname{return}(\mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{1}, \ \mathbf{0}) \\ \text{else} \\ (\mathbf{d}, \ \mathbf{a}, \ \mathbf{b}) := \operatorname{ext-gcd}(\mathbf{y}, \ \operatorname{mod}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})) \\ \text{return} \ (\mathbf{d}, \ \mathbf{b}, \ \mathbf{a} - \operatorname{floor}(\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{y}) \ \star \ \mathbf{b}) \\ \\ \text{Recursively: } d = a\mathbf{y} + b(\mathbf{x} - \lfloor \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{y}} \rfloor \cdot \mathbf{y}) \Longrightarrow d = b\mathbf{x} - (a - \lfloor \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{y}} \rfloor b)\mathbf{y} \\ \\ \text{Returns } (d, b, (a - \lfloor \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{y}} \rfloor \cdot b)). \end{array}
```

9/39

More Number Theory Tools.

Chinese remainder theorem.

Fermat's Theorem.

11/39

12/39

¹Assume d is gcd(x, y) by previous proof.

Bijections

```
Bijection is one to one and onto.
```

```
Bijection: f:A\to B. Domain: A, Co-Domain: B. Versus Range. E.g. \sin(x). A=B=\text{reals}. Range is [-1,1]. Onto: [-1,1]. Not one-to-one. \sin(\pi)=\sin(0)=0. Range Definition always is onto. Consider f(x)=ax \mod m. f:\{0,\dots,m-1\}\to\{0,\dots,m-1\}. Domain/Co-Domain: \{0,\dots,m-1\}. When is it a bijection? When \gcd(a,m) is ....? ... 1. Not Example: a=2, m=4, f(0)=f(2)=0 \pmod 4.
```

Fermat's Theorem: Reducing Exponents.

```
Fermat's Little Theorem: For prime p, and a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
```

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
.

Proof: Consider $S = \{a \cdot 1, \dots, a \cdot (p-1)\}.$

All different modulo p since a has an inverse modulo p. S contains representative of $\{1, \dots, p-1\}$ modulo p.

$$(a \cdot 1) \cdot (a \cdot 2) \cdots (a \cdot (p-1)) \equiv 1 \cdot 2 \cdots (p-1) \mod p$$

Since multiplication is commutative.

$$a^{(p-1)}(1\cdots(p-1))\equiv (1\cdots(p-1))\mod p.$$

Each of $2, \dots (p-1)$ has an inverse modulo p, solve to get...

$$a^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \mod p$$
.

Lots of Mods

```
x=5\pmod{7} and x=3\pmod{5}. What is x\pmod{35}? Let's try 5. Not 3 \pmod{5}! Let's try 3. Not 5 \pmod{7}! If x=5\pmod{7} then x is in \{5,12,19,26,33\}. Oh, only 33 is 3 \pmod{5}. Hmmm... only one solution. A bit slow for large values.
```

Fermat and Exponent reducing.

```
Fermat's Little Theorem: For prime p, and a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},
```

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
.

What is 2¹⁰¹ (mod 7)?

Wrong: $2^{101} = 2^{7*14+3} = 2^3 \pmod{7}$

Fermat: 2 is relatively prime to 7. \implies $2^6 = 1 \pmod{7}$.

Correct: $2^{101} = 2^{6*16+5} = 2^5 = 32 = 4 \pmod{7}$.

For a prime modulus, we can reduce exponents modulo p-1!

Simple Chinese Remainder Theorem.

```
My love is won. Zero and One. Nothing and nothing done.
```

```
Find x = a \pmod{m} and x = b \pmod{n} where gcd(m, n) = 1.
```

CRT Thm: There is a unique solution $x \pmod{mn}$.

Proof:

```
Consider u = n(n^{-1} \pmod{m}).

u = 0 \pmod{n} u = 1 \pmod{m}
```

Consider
$$v = m(m^{-1} \pmod{n})$$
.

$$v = 1 \pmod{n}$$
 $v = 0 \pmod{m}$

Let x = au + bv.

 $x = au + bv = a \pmod{m}$: $bv = 0 \pmod{m}$ and $au = a \pmod{m}$ $x = au + bv = b \pmod{n}$: $au = 0 \pmod{n}$ and $bv = b \pmod{n}$

Only solution? If not, two solutions, x and y.

```
(x-y) \equiv 0 \pmod{m} and (x-y) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.
```

 \implies (x-y) is multiple of m and n since gcd(m,n)=1.

 $\implies x-y \ge mn \implies x,y \notin \{0,\ldots,mn-1\}.$

Thus, only one solution modulo mn.

Isomorphisms.

30morphism

Bijection:

```
f(x) = ax \pmod{m} if gcd(a, m) = 1.
```

Chinese Remainder Theorem: For m, n with gcd(n, m) = 1,

 \implies unique $x \pmod{mn}$ where $x = a \pmod{m}$ and $x = b \pmod{n}$.

Bijection between $(a \pmod n), b \pmod m$ and $x \pmod m$.

Consider m = 5, n = 9, then if (a, b) = (3, 7) then $x = 43 \pmod{45}$.

Consider (a', b') = (2, 4), then $x = 22 \pmod{45}$.

Now consider: (a,b)+(a',b')=(0,2).

What is x where $x = 0 \pmod{5}$ and $x = 2 \pmod{9}$?

Try $43 + 22 = 65 = 20 \pmod{45}$.

Is it 0 (mod 5)? Yes! Is it 2 (mod 9)? Yes!

Isomorphism:

17/39

the actions $+, \times$ under (mod 5), (mod 9) correspond to $+, \times$ actions in (mod 45)!

18/39

П

Public Key Cryptography.

- 1. Public Key Cryptography
- 2. RSA system
- Warnings.

Public key crypography.

$$m = D(E(m,K),k)$$

Private: k Public: K Message m E(m,K)E(m,K)Alice Bob Eve

Everyone knows key K!

Bob (and Eve and me and you and you ...) can encode. Only Alice knows the secret key k for public key K. (Only?) Alice can decode with k.

Is this even possible?

Xor

Computer Science:

1 - True 0 - False

 $1 \lor 1 = 1$

 $1 \lor 0 = 1$

 $0 \lor 1 = 1$

 $0 \lor 0 = 0$

 $A \oplus B$ - Exclusive or.

 $1 \oplus 1 = 0$

 $1 \oplus 0 = 1$

 $0 \oplus 1 = 1$

 $0 \oplus 0 = 0$

Note: Also modular addition modulo 2!

{0,1} is set. Take remainder for 2.

Property: $A \oplus B \oplus B = A$. By cases: $1 \oplus 1 \oplus 1 = 1$

Is public key crypto possible?

We don't really know.

...but we do it every day!!!

RSA (Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman)

Pick two large primes p and q. Let N = pq. Choose e relatively prime to (p-1)(q-1).²

Compute $d = e^{-1} \mod (p-1)(q-1)$.

Announce $N(=p \cdot q)$ and e: K = (N, e) is my public key!

Encoding: $mod(x^e, N)$.

Decoding: $mod(y^d, N)$.

Does $D(E(m)) = m^{ed} = m \mod N$?

Yes!

²Typically small, say e = 3.

Cryptography ...



Example:

One-time Pad: secret s is string of length |m|.

$$m = 101010111110101101$$

E(m,s) – bitwise $m \oplus s$.

D(x,s) – bitwise $x \oplus s$.

Works because $m \oplus s \oplus s = m!$

...and totally secure!

...given E(m, s) any message m is equally likely.

Disadvantages:

Shared secret!

Uses up one time pad..or less and less secure.

Iterative Extended GCD.

Example: p = 7, q = 11.

$$N = 77$$
.

$$(p-1)(q-1)=60$$

Choose e = 7, since gcd(7,60) = 1. egcd(7,60).

$$7(0) + 60(1) = 60$$

$$7(1) + 60(0) = 7$$

$$7(-8) + 60(1) = 4$$

$$7(9) + 60(-1) = 3$$

$$7(-17) + 60(2) = 1$$

Confirm:
$$-119 + 120 = 1$$

$$d = e^{-1} = -17 = 43 = \pmod{60}$$

Encryption/Decryption Techniques.

```
Public Key: (77,7) Message Choices: \{0,\ldots,76\}. Message: 2! E(2)=2^g=2^7\equiv 128\pmod{77}=51\pmod{77} D(51)=51^{43}\pmod{77} uh oh! Obvious way: 43 multiplications. Ouch. In general, O(N) or O(2^n) multiplications!
```

Repeated Squaring: x^y

Repeated squaring $O(\log y)$ multiplications versus y!!!

```
1. x^y: Compute x^1, x^2, x^4, ..., x^{2^{\lfloor \log y \rfloor}}.
```

2. Multiply together x^i where the $(\log(i))$ th bit of y (in binary) is 1. Example: 43 = 101011 in binary. $x^{43} = x^{32} * x^8 * x^2 * x^1.$

Modular Exponentiation: $x^y \mod N$. All *n*-bit numbers.

Repeated Squaring:

O(n) multiplications.

 $O(n^2)$ time per multiplication.

 \Rightarrow $O(n^3)$ time.

Conclusion: $x^{y'} \mod N$ takes $O(n^3)$ time.

Repeated squaring.

```
Notice: 43 = 32 + 8 + 2 + 1. 51^{43} = 51^{32 + 8 + 2 + 1} = 51^{32} \cdot 51^8 \cdot 51^2 \cdot 51^1 \pmod{77}. 4 multiplications sort of... Need to compute 51^{32} \dots 51^1 \cdot 751^1 \cdot 7
```

00/00

RSA is pretty fast.

Modular Exponentiation: $x^y \mod N$. All *n*-bit numbers. $O(n^3)$ time.

Remember RSA encoding/decoding!

 $E(m,(N,e)) = m^e \pmod{N}.$ $D(m,(N,d)) = m^d \pmod{N}.$

For 512 bits, a few hundred million operations. Easy, peasey.

Recursive version.

Claim: Program correctly computes x^y .

Base: $x^1 = x \pmod{m}$.

 $x^y = x^{2(y/2)+ \mod (y,2)} = (x^2)^{y/2} x^{y \mod 2} \pmod{m}.$

Last expression computed in recursive call with x^2 and y/2.

Note: y/2 is integer division.

27/39

Decoding.

```
\begin{split} E(m,(N,e)) &= m^e \pmod{N}, \\ D(m,(N,d)) &= m^d \pmod{N}, \\ N &= pq \text{ and } d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}, \\ \text{Want: } (m^e)^d &= m^{ed} = m \pmod{N}. \end{split}
```

29/39

30/39

Always decode correctly?

 $E(m,(N,e))=m^e\pmod{N}$.

```
D(m,(N,d))=m^d \pmod{N}.
N = pq and d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}.
Want: (m^e)^d = m^{ed} = m \pmod{N}.
Another view:
 d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)} \iff ed = k(p-1)(q-1) + 1.
Consider...
Fermat's Little Theorem: For prime p, and a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p},
      a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.
   \implies a^{k(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \implies a^{k(p-1)+1} = a \pmod{p}
versus a^{k(p-1)(q-1)+1} = a \pmod{pq}.
Similar, not same, but useful.
```

Security of RSA.

Security?

- 1. Alice knows p and q.
- 2. Bob only knows, N(=pq), and e. Does not know, for example, d or factorization of N.
- 3. I don't know how to break this scheme without factoring N.

No one I know or have heard of admits to knowing how to factor N. Breaking in general sense \implies factoring algorithm.

...decoding correctness...

```
CRT: Isomorphism between (a \mod p, b \mod q) and x \pmod{pq}
  e = d^{-1} \mod pa.
x^{ed} = x^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} \pmod{pq}
Now x = a \mod p and x = b \pmod q.
 a^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} = a(a^{(p-1)})^{k(q-1)} = a \pmod{p}
       By Fermat. \hat{a}^{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}
 b^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} = b(b^{(q-1)})^{k(p-1)} = b \pmod{q}
       By Fermat. b^{q-1} = 1 \pmod{q}
x^{ed} = a \pmod{p} and x^{ed} = b \pmod{q}.
CRT \implies x^{ed} = x \pmod{pq}.
```

Much more to it.....

If Bobs sends a message (Credit Card Number) to Alice,

Eve sees it.

Eve can send credit card again!!

The protocols are built on RSA but more complicated;

For example, several rounds of challenge/response.

One trick:

Bob encodes credit card number. c. concatenated with random k-bit number r.

Never sends just c.

Again, more work to do to get entire system.

CS161...

Construction of keys....

1. Find large (100 digit) primes p and q?

Prime Number Theorem: $\pi(N)$ number of primes less than N.For all N > 17

$$\pi(N) \geq N/\ln N$$
.

Choosing randomly gives approximately 1/(ln N) chance of number being a prime. (How do you tell if it is prime? ... cs170..Miller-Rabin test.. Primes in P).

For 1024 bit number, 1 in 710 is prime.

- 2. Choose *e* with gcd(e, (p-1)(q-1)) = 1. Use gcd algorithm to test.
- 3. Find inverse d of e modulo (p-1)(q-1). Use extended gcd algorithm.

All steps are polynomial in $O(\log N)$, the number of bits.

Signatures using RSA.

```
Verisign: k_v, K_v
[C, S_{\nu}(C)]
                                                C = E(S_V(C), k_V)?
                               [C, S_{\nu}(C)]
          [C, S_{\nu}(C)]
                                    Browser. Kv
    Amazon ←
```

Certificate Authority: Verisign, GoDaddy, DigiNotar,...

Verisign's key: $K_V = (N, e)$ and $k_V = d$ (N = pq)

Browser "knows" Verisign's public key: K_V .

Amazon Certificate: C ="I am Amazon. My public Key is K_A ."

Versign signature of $C: S_V(C): D(C, k_V) = C^d \mod N$.

Browser receives: [C, y]

Checks $E(y, K_V) = C$?

 $E(S_{\nu}(C), K_{\nu}) = (S_{\nu}(C))^{e} = (C^{d})^{e} = C^{de} = C \pmod{N}$

Valid signature of Amazon certificate C!

Security: Eve can't forge unless she "breaks" RSA scheme.

RSA

Public Key Cryptography:

 $D(E(m,K),k) = (m^e)^d \mod N = m.$

Signature scheme:

 $E(D(C,k),K) = (C^d)^e \mod N = C$

Other Eve.

Get CA to certify fake certificates: Microsoft Corporation. 2001..Doh.

... and August 28, 2011 announcement.

DigiNotar Certificate issued for Microsoft!!!

How does Microsoft get a CA to issue certificate to them ...

and only them?

Summary.

```
Public-Key Encryption.
```

RSA Scheme:

N = pq and $d = e^{-1} \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$. $E(x) = x^e \pmod{N}$. $D(y) = y^d \pmod{N}$.

Repeated Squaring \Longrightarrow efficiency.

Fermat's Theorem \implies correctness.

Good for Encryption and Signature Schemes.