Lecture 7. Outline.

1. Quickly finish isoperimetric inequality for hypercube.
2. Modular Arithmetic.
   Clock Math!!!
3. Inverses for Modular Arithmetic: Greatest Common Divisor.
   Division!!!
4. Euclid's GCD Algorithm.
   A little tricky here!

Isoperimetry.

For 3-space:

The sphere minimizes surface area to volume.

Surface Area: $4\pi r^2$, Volume: $\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3$.

Ratio: $1/3r = \Theta(V^{-1/3})$.

Graphical Analog: Cut into two pieces and find ratio of edges/vertices on small side.

Tree: $\Theta(1/|V|)$.

Hypercube: $\Theta(1)$.

Surface Area is roughly at least the volume!

Recursive Definition.

A 0-dimensional hypercube is a node labelled with the empty string of bits.

An n-dimensional hypercube consists of a 0-subcube (1-subcube) which is a $n-1$-dimensional hypercube with nodes labelled $0x$ ($1x$) with the additional edges $(0x, 1x)$.



Hypercube: Can't cut me!

Thm: Any subset S of the hypercube where $|S| \leq |V|/2$ has $\geq |S|$ edges connecting it to $V - S$; $|E \cap S \times (V - S)| \geq |S|$

Terminology:
$(S, V - S)$ is cut.
$(E \cap S \times (V - S))$ - cut edges.

Restatement: for any cut in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.



No better than this cut if half-half.

Proof of Large Cuts.

Thm: For any cut $(S, V-S)$ in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.

Proof:

Base Case: $n = 1$ V= $\{0,1\}$.

$S = \{0\}$ has one edge leaving. $|S| = \phi$ has 0.

Induction Step Idea

Thm: For any cut $(S, V - S)$ in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side.

Use recursive definition into two subcubes.

Two cubes connected by edges.

Case 1: Count edges inside subcube inductively.



Case 2: Count inside and across.



Induction Step

Thm: For any cut $(S, V - S)$ in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, $|S|$.

Proof: Induction Step.
Recursive definition:
$H_0 = (V_0, E_0)$, $H_1 = (V_1, E_1)$, edges E_x that connect them.
$H = (V_0 \cup V_1, E_0 \cup E_1 \cup E_x)$
$S = S_0 \cup S_1$ where S_0 in first, and S_1 in other.

Case 1: $|S_0| \leq |V_0|/2, |S_1| \leq |V_1|/2$
Both S_0 and S_1 are small sides. So by induction.
Edges cut in $H_0 \geq |S_0|$.
Edges cut in $H_1 \geq |S_1|$.

Total cut edges $\geq |S_0| + |S_1| = |S|$. $\square$

Induction Step. Case 2.

Thm: For any cut $(S, V - S)$ in the hypercube, the number of cut edges is at least the size of the small side, $|S|$.
Proof: Induction Step. Case 2.



$|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2$.

Recall Case 1: $|S_0|, |S_1| \leq |V|/2$

$|S_1| \leq |V_1|/2$ since $|S| \leq |V|/2$.

$\implies \geq |S_1|$ edges cut in E_1.

$|S_0| \geq |V_0|/2 \implies |V_0 - S| \leq |V_0|/2$

$\implies \geq |V_0| - |S_0|$ edges cut in E_0.

Edges in E_x connect corresponding nodes.

$\implies = |S_0| - |S_1|$ edges cut in E_x.

Total edges cut:

$\geq |S_1| + |V_0| - |S_0| + |S_0| - |S_1| = |V_0|$

$|V_0| = |V|/2 \geq |S|$. $\square$

Also, case 3 where $|S_1| \geq |V|/2$ is symmetric.

Hypercubes and Boolean Functions.

The cuts in the hypercubes are exactly the transitions from 0 sets to 1 set on boolean functions on $\{0,1\}^n$.

Central area of study in computer science!

Yes/No Computer Programs $\equiv$ Boolean function on $\{0,1\}^n$

Central object of study.

Next Up.

Modular Arithmetic.

Clock Math

If it is 1:00 now.
What time is it in 2 hours? 3:00!
What time is it in 5 hours? 6:00!
What time is it in 15 hours? 16:00!
Actually 4:00.

16 is the "same as 4" with respect to a 12 hour clock system.
Clock time equivalent up to to addition/subtraction of 12.

What time is it in 100 hours? 101:00! or 5:00.
$101 = 12 \times 8 + 5$.
5 is the same as 101 for a 12 hour clock system.
Clock time equivalent up to addition of any integer multiple of 12.

Custom is only to use the representative in $\{12, 1, \ldots, 11\}$
(Almost remainder, except for 12 and 0 are equivalent.)

Day of the week.

Today is Tuesday.
  What day is it a year from now? on February 12, 2020?
    Number days.
      0 for Sunday, 1 for Monday, ..., 6 for Saturday.

Today: day 3.
  5 days from now. day 8 or day 1 or Monday.
  25 days from now. day 28 or day 0. $28 = (7)4$
    two days are equivalent up to addition/subtraction of multiple of 7.
    11 days from now is day 0 which is Sunday!

What day is it a year from now?
  This year is not a leap year. So 365 days from now.
  Day 3+365 or day 368.
Smallest representation:
  subtract 7 until smaller than 7.
  divide and get remainder.
  368/7 leaves quotient of 52 and remainder 4. $365 = 7(52) + 4$
    or February 8, 2018 is a Thursday.

Years and years...

80 years from now? 20 leap years. 366×20 days
60 regular years. 365×60 days
Today is day 2.
It is day $3 + 366 \times 20 + 365 \times 60$. Equivalent to?

Hmm.
What is remainder of 366 when dividing by 7? $52 \times 7 + 2$.
What is remainder of 365 when dividing by 7? 1
Today is day 2.
Get Day: $3 + 2 \times 20 + 1 \times 60 = 103$
Remainder when dividing by 7? $102 = 14 \times 7 + 5$.
Or February 8, 2099 is Friday!

Further Simplify Calculation:
20 has remainder 6 when divided by 7.
60 has remainder 4 when divided by 7.
Get Day: $3 + 2 \times 6 + 1 \times 4 = 19$.
Or Day 5. February 8, 2099 is Friday.

"Reduce" at any time in calculation!

Modular Arithmetic: refresher.

x **is congruent to** y **modulo** m or "$x \equiv y \pmod{m}$"
if and only if $(x - y)$ is divisible by m.
...or x and y have the same remainder w.r.t. m.
...or $x = y + km$ for some integer k.

Mod 7 equivalence classes:
$\{\ldots,-7,0,7,14,\ldots\}$ $\{\ldots,-6,1,8,15,\ldots\}$ ...

Useful Fact: Addition, subtraction, multiplication can be done with any equivalent x and y.

or " $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$ and $b \equiv d \pmod{m}$
$\implies a + b \equiv c + d \pmod{m}$ and $a \cdot b = c \cdot d \pmod{m}$"

Proof: If $a \equiv c \pmod{m}$, then $a = c + km$ for some integer k.
If $b \equiv d \pmod{m}$, then $b = d + jm$ for some integer j.
Therefore, $a + b = c + d + (k + j)m$ and since $k + j$ is integer.
$\implies a + b \equiv c + d \pmod{m}$. $\square$

Can calculate with representative in $\{0,\ldots,m-1\}$.

Notation

$x \pmod m$ or $\mod(x, m)$

- remainder of x divided by m in $\{0, \ldots, m-1\}$.

$\mod(x, m) = x - \lfloor \frac{x}{m} \rfloor m$

$\lfloor \frac{x}{m} \rfloor$ is quotient.

$\mod(29, 12) = 29 - (\lfloor \frac{29}{12} \rfloor) \times 12 = 29 - (2) \times 12 = \cancel{4} = 5$

Work in this system.

$a \equiv b \pmod m$.

Says two integers a and b are equivalent modulo m.

Modulus is m

$6 \equiv 3 + 3 \equiv 3 + 10 \pmod 7$.

$6 = 3 + 3 = 3 + 10 \pmod 7$.

Generally, not $6 \pmod 7 = 13 \pmod 7$.

But probably won't take off points, still hard for us to read.

Inverses and Factors.

Division: multiply by multiplicative inverse.

$$2x = 3 \implies (\frac{1}{2}) \cdot 2x = (\frac{1}{2}) \cdot 3 \implies x = \frac{3}{2}.$$

Multiplicative inverse of x is y where $xy = 1$;
1 **is multiplicative identity element.**

In modular arithmetic, 1 is the multiplicative identity element.

Multiplicative inverse of x **mod** m is y with $xy = 1 \pmod m$.

For 4 modulo 7 inverse is 2: $2 \cdot 4 \equiv 8 \equiv 1 \pmod 7$.

Can solve $4x = 5 \pmod 7$.

$2 \cdot 4x = 2 \cdot 5 \pmod 7$

$8x = 10 \pmod 7$

$x = 3 \pmod 7$

Check! $4(3) = 12 = 5 \pmod 7$.

$x = 3 \pmod 7$ ::: Check! $4(3) = 12 = 5 \pmod 7$.

For 8 modulo 12: no multiplicative inverse!

"Common factor of 4" $\implies$
$8k - 12\ell$ is a multiple of four for any ℓ and k $\implies$
$8k \not\equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ for any k.

Greatest Common Divisor and Inverses.

Thm:
If greatest common divisor of x and m, gcd(x,m), is 1, then x has a multiplicative inverse modulo m.

Proof $\implies$:
Claim: The set $S = \{0x, 1x, \ldots, (m-1)x\}$ contains $y \equiv 1 \mod m$ if all distinct modulo m.

Each of m numbers in S correspond to different one of m equivalence classes modulo m.
$\implies$ One must correspond to 1 modulo m. Inverse Exists!

Proof of Claim: If not distinct, then $\exists a, b \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$, $a \neq b$, where
$(ax \equiv bx \pmod{m}) \implies (a-b)x \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$
Or $(a-b)x = km$ for some integer k.

$gcd(x,m) = 1$
$\implies$ Prime factorization of m and x do not contain common primes.
$\implies$ $(a-b)$ factorization contains all primes in m's factorization.
So $(a-b)$ has to be multiple of m.
$\implies$ $(a-b) \geq m$. But $a, b \in \{0, \ldots m-1\}$. Contradiction. $\square$

Proof review. Consequence.

Thm: If $\gcd(x,m) = 1$, then x has a multiplicative inverse modulo m.

Proof Sketch: The set $S = \{0x, 1x, \ldots, (m-1)x\}$ contains $y \equiv 1 \mod m$ if all distinct modulo m.

... $\square$

For $x = 4$ and $m = 6$. All products of 4...
$S = \{0(4), 1(4), 2(4), 3(4), 4(4), 5(4)\} = \{0, 4, 8, 12, 16, 20\}$
reducing $\pmod 6$
$S = \{0, 4, 2, 0, 4, 2\}$
Not distinct. Common factor 2. Can't be 1. No inverse.

For $x = 5$ and $m = 6$.
$S = \{0(5), 1(5), 2(5), 3(5), 4(5), 5(5)\} = \{0, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1\}$
All distinct, contains 1! 5 is multiplicative inverse of 5 $\pmod 6$.
(Hmm. What normal number is it own multiplicative inverse?) 1 -1.

$5x = 3 \pmod 6$ What is x? Multiply both sides by 5.
$x = 15 = 3 \pmod 6$

$4x = 3 \pmod 6$ No solutions. Can't get an odd.
$4x = 2 \pmod 6$ Two solutions! $x = 2, 5 \pmod 6$

Very different for elements with inverses.

Proof Review 2: Bijections.

If gcd(x,m) = 1.

Then the function $f(a) = xa \mod m$ is a bijection.

One to one: there is a unique pre-image.

Onto: the sizes of the domain and co-domain are the same.

$x = 3, m = 4.$

$f(1) = 3(1) = 3 \pmod 4, f(2) = 6 = 2 \pmod 4, f(3) = 1 \pmod 4.$

Oh yeah. $f(0) = 0.$

Bijection $\equiv$ unique pre-image and same size.

All the images are distinct. $\implies$ unique pre-image for any image.

$x = 2, m = 4.$

$f(1) = 2, f(2) = 0, f(3) = 2$

Oh yeah. $f(0) = 0.$

Not a bijection.

Finding inverses.

How to find the inverse?

How to find **if** x has an inverse modulo m?

Find gcd (x, m).
Greater than 1? No multiplicative inverse.
Equal to 1? Mutliplicative inverse.

Algorithm: Try all numbers up to x to see if it divides both x and m.

Very slow.

Inverses

Next up.

Euclid's Algorithm.
 Runtime.
Euclid's Extended Algorithm.

Refresh

Does 2 have an inverse mod 8? No.
  Any multiple of 2 is 2 away from $0+8k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Does 2 have an inverse mod 9? Yes. 5
  $2(5) = 10 = 1 \pmod 9$.

Does 6 have an inverse mod 9? No.
  Any multiple of 6 is 3 away from $0+9k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
    $3 = gcd(6,9)$!

x has an inverse modulo m if and only if
  $gcd(x,m) > 1$? No.
  $gcd(x,m) = 1$? Yes.

Now what?:
  Compute gcd!
  Compute Inverse modulo m.

Divisibility...

Notation: $d|x$ means "d divides x" or
$x = kd$ for some integer k.

Fact: If $d|x$ and $d|y$ then $d|(x+y)$ and $d|(x-y)$.

Is it a fact? Yes? No?

Proof: $d|x$ and $d|y$ or
$x = \ell d$ and $y = kd$

$\implies x - y = kd - \ell d = (k-\ell)d \implies d|(x-y)$

$\square$

Notice $x - y$ is smaller than x and y, and has same common divisors!

Think induction or recursion!

More divisibility

Notation: $d|x$ means "d divides x" or
$x = kd$ for some integer k.

Lemma 1: If $d|x$ and $d|y$ then $d|y$ and $d| \mod(x,y)$.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \mod(x,y) &= x - \lfloor x/y \rfloor \cdot y \\ &= x - \lfloor s \rfloor \cdot y \quad \text{for integer } s \\ &= kd - s\ell d \quad \text{for integers } k, \ell \text{ where } x = kd \text{ and } y = \ell d \\ &= (k - s\ell)d \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $d| \mod(x,y)$. And $d|y$ since it is in condition. $\square$

Lemma 2: If $d|y$ and $d| \mod(x,y)$ then $d|y$ and $d|x$.
Proof...: Similar. Try this at home. $\square$ish.

GCD Mod Corollary: $\gcd(x,y) = \gcd(y, \mod(x,y))$.
Proof: x and y have **same** set of common divisors as x and $\mod(x,y)$ by Lemma 1 and 2.
Same common divisors $\implies$ largest is the same. $\square$

Euclid's algorithm.

GCD Mod Corollary: $\gcd(x,y) = \gcd(y, \mod(x,y))$.

Hey, what's $\gcd(7,0)$? 7 since 7 divides 7 and 7 divides 0
What's $\gcd(x,0)$? x

```
(define (euclid x y)
  (if (= y 0)
    x
    (euclid  y (mod x y))))   ***
```

Theorem: (euclid x y) $= \gcd(x,y)$ if $x \geq y$.

Proof: Use Strong Induction.
Base Case: $y = 0$, "x divides y and x"
$\implies$ "x is common divisor and clearly largest."
Induction Step: $\mod(x,y) < y \leq x$ when $x \geq y$

call in line (***) meets conditions plus arguments "smaller"
and by strong induction hypothesis
computes $\gcd(y, \mod(x,y))$
which is $\gcd(x,y)$ by GCD Mod Corollary. $\square$

Number Value and Representation Size.

Before discussing running time of gcd procedure...

How big is 1000000? For a computer scientist: 7 or 20.

What is the value of 1,000,000?

one million or 1,000,000!

What is the "size" of 1,000,000?

Number of digits in base 10: 7.

Number of bits (a digit in base 2): 21.

For a number x, what is its size in bits?

$$n = b(x) \approx \log_2 x$$

Euclid procedure is fast.

Theorem: (euclid x y) uses $2n$ "divisions" where $n = b(x) \approx \log_2 x$.

Is this good? Better than trying all numbers in $\{2, \ldots y/2\}$?

Check 2, check 3, check 4, check 5 . . . , check $y/2$.

If $y \approx x$ roughly y uses n bits ...
2^{n-1} divisions! Exponential dependence on size!

101 bit number. $2^{100} \approx 10^{30} =$ "million, trillion, trillion" divisions!

$2n$ is much faster! .. roughly 200 divisions.

Algorithms at work.

Trying everything

Check 2, check 3, check 4, check 5 . . . , check $y/2$.

"(gcd x y)" at work.

```
euclid(700,568)
  euclid(568, 132)
    euclid(132, 40)
      euclid(40, 12)
        euclid(12, 4)
          euclid(4, 0)
            4
```

Notice: The first argument decreases rapidly.
At least a factor of 2 in two recursive calls.

(The second is less than the first.)

Runtime Proof.

```
(define (euclid x y)
 (if (= y 0)
     x
    (euclid  y (mod x y))))
```

Theorem: (euclid x y) uses $O(n)$ "divisions" where $n = b(x)$.

Proof:

Fact:
First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

After $2\log_2 x = O(n)$ recursive calls, argument x is 1 bit number.
One more recursive call to finish.
1 division per recursive call.
$O(n)$ divisions.

$\square$

Runtime Proof (continued.)

```
(define (euclid x y)
 (if (= y 0)
     x
    (euclid  y (mod x y))))
```

Fact:
First arg decreases by at least factor of two in two recursive calls.

Proof of Fact: Recall that first argument decreases every call.

Case 1: $y < x/2$, first argument is y
$\implies$ true in one recursive call;

Case 2: Will show "$y \geq x/2$" $\implies$ "$mod(x, y) \leq x/2$."

mod (x, y) is second argument in next recursive call,
and becomes the first argument in the next one.

When $y \geq x/2$, then

$$\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = 1,$$

$$\text{mod}\,(x, y) = x - y\lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor = x - y \leq x - x/2 = x/2$$

$\square$

Finding an inverse?

We showed how to efficiently tell if there is an inverse.

Extend euclid to find inverse.

Euclid's GCD algorithm.

```
(define (euclid x y)
 (if (= y 0)
     x
    (euclid  y (mod x y))))
```

Computes the $\gcd(x, y)$ in $O(n)$ divisions.

For x and m, if $\gcd(x, m) = 1$ then x has an inverse modulo m.

Multiplicative Inverse.

GCD algorithm used to tell **if** there is a multiplicative inverse.

How do we **find** a multiplicative inverse?

Extended GCD

Euclid's Extended GCD Theorem:
For any x, y there are integers a, b where

$$ax + by = d \qquad \text{where } d = gcd(x, y).$$

"Make d out of sum of multiples of x and y."

What is multiplicative inverse of x modulo m?

By extended GCD theorem, when $\gcd(x, m) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} ax + bm &= 1 \\ ax \equiv 1 - bm &\equiv 1 \pmod{m}. \end{aligned}$$

So a multiplicative inverse of $x \pmod{m}$!!
Example: For $x = 12$ and $y = 35$, $\gcd(12, 35) = 1$.

$$(3)12 + (-1)35 = 1.$$

$$a = 3 \text{ and } b = -1.$$

The multiplicative inverse of $12 \pmod{35}$ is 3.

Make d out of x and y..?

```
gcd(35,12)
  gcd(12, 11)  ;;  gcd(12, 35%12)
    gcd(11, 1)  ;;  gcd(11, 12%11)
      gcd(1,0)
        1
```

How did gcd get 11 from 35 and 12?
$35 - \lfloor \frac{35}{12} \rfloor 12 = 35 - (2)12 = 11$

How does gcd get 1 from 12 and 11?
$12 - \lfloor \frac{12}{11} \rfloor 11 = 12 - (1)11 = 1$

Algorithm finally returns 1.

But we want 1 from sum of multiples of 35 and 12?

Get 1 from 12 and 11.
$1 = 12 - (1)11 = 12 - (1)(35 - (2)12) = (3)12 + (-1)35$
Get 11 from 35 and 12 and plugin.... Simplify. $a = 3$ and $b = -1$.

Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
     else
         (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
         return (d, b, a - floor(x/y)  * b)
```

Claim: Returns (d,a,b): $d = gcd(a,b)$ and $d = ax + by$.
Example: $a - \lfloor x/y \rfloor \cdot b = 1 - \lfloor 35/12 \rfloor \cdot (-1) = 3$

```
    ext-gcd(35,12)
      ext-gcd(12, 11)
        ext-gcd(11, 1)
          ext-gcd(1,0)
          return (1,1,0) ;; 1 = (1)1 + (0) 0
        return (1,0,1)   ;; 1 = (0)11 + (1)1
      return (1,1,-1)    ;; 1 = (1)12 + (-1)11
   return (1,-1, 3)      ;; 1 = (-1)35 +(3)12
```

Extended GCD Algorithm.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
     else
         (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
         return (d, b, a - floor(x/y)  * b)
```

Theorem: Returns (d, a, b), where $d = gcd(a, b)$ and

$$d = ax + by.$$

Correctness.

Proof: Strong Induction.[1]
Base: ext-gcd$(x, 0)$ returns $(d = x, 1, 0)$ with $x = (1)x + (0)y$.

Induction Step: Returns (d, A, B) with $d = Ax + By$
Ind hyp: **ext-gcd**$(y, \mod(x, y))$ returns (d, a, b) with
$d = ay + b(\mod(x, y))$

ext-gcd(x, y) calls **ext-gcd**$(y, \mod(x, y))$ so

$$\begin{aligned} d &= ay + b \cdot (\mod(x, y)) \\ &= ay + b \cdot (x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor y) \\ &= bx + (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b) y \end{aligned}$$

And ext-gcd returns $(d, b, (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b))$ so theorem holds! $\square$

[1] Assume d is $gcd(x, y)$ by previous proof.

Review Proof: step.

Prove: returns (d, A, B) where $d = Ax + By$.

```
ext-gcd(x,y)
  if y = 0 then return(x, 1, 0)
     else
         (d, a, b) := ext-gcd(y, mod(x,y))
         return (d, b, a - floor(x/y)  * b)
```

Recursively: $d = ay + b(x - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot y) \implies d = bx - (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor b)y$

Returns $(d, b, (a - \lfloor \frac{x}{y} \rfloor \cdot b))$.

Hand Calculation Method for Inverses.

Example: $\gcd(7,60) = 1$.
egcd(7,60).

$$\begin{aligned} 7(0)+60(1) &= 60 \\ 7(1)+60(0) &= 7 \\ 7(-8)+60(1) &= 4 \\ 7(9)+60(-1) &= 3 \\ 7(-17)+60(2) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Confirm: $-119+120 = 1$

Wrap-up

Conclusion: Can find multiplicative inverses in $O(n)$ time!
Proof: $d|x$ and $d|y \implies d|(x-y)$.

Very different from elementary school: try 1, try 2, try 3...

$2^{n/2}$

Inverse of $500,000,357$ modulo $1,000,000,000,000$?
≤ 80 divisions.
versus $1,000,000$

Internet Security.
Public Key Cryptography: 512 digits.
512 divisions vs.
$(10000000000000000000000000000000000000000000)^5$ divisions.

Internet Security: Thursday.